REL _KVL_

DP _fMP_

RJM _____

AP _af._

BC _____

SM _____

File: _1.2.2.1_

Destroy: _____

Return To: _____

Remarks:

In the Mon minutes (Par 5)
the demo offered was
subsequently withdrawn
by ISSC. Apparently
it should have been

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
SECURITY COMMITTEE
COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

10 April 1984
DCISEC-CSS-M162

STAT

1. On 20 March 1984, the One Hundred and Sixty-second meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held at the _____ McLean, VA. The following persons were in attendance:

STAT   _____ Chairman
STAT   _____ Executive Secretary
             Mr. Ralph Neeper, Army
             Ms. Martha Tofferi, Air Force
             Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice
             Mr. Carl Martz, Navy
             Mr. David Schenken, U.S. Secret Service
STAT   _____ CIA
STAT   _____ DCI SECOM
STAT   _____ DCI

2. The Chairman distributed copies of a letter from SECOM concerning personal computers, asking for viewpoints concerning policy for their dissemination, use, and control. There was some discussion of perceived areas of concern, as well as a regulation which had been proposed within CIA dealing with "pc's." TEMPEST, netting, and electronic mail/office automation functions were identified as areas of concern. The Chairman asked the membership to submit views concerning a policy dealing with pc's, and the structure of such a policy.

3. The Chairman also distributed a paper issued by the IG/CM, which appears to be asking; "how much are we paying for computer security" on each particular system? After some discussion, the membership noted with dismay what seemed to be significant overlap between various disciplines; TEMPEST, COMSEC, personnel security, physical security, etc.

4. The Chairman asked that comments, revisions, suggestions for collection requirements be submitted for forwarding. There was discussion of the present lack of response to the existing collection requirements. The Navy members emphasized the need to "ride herd" on the requirements, noting that without informed attention to the efforts, the collection requirements are either ignored or misapplied through misunderstanding.

STAT

STAT
STAT

6.   The next meeting was set for 24 April at 0930 at the McLean, VA.

Executive Secretary

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
SECURITY COMMITTEE
COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

15 March 1984
DCISEC-CSS-M161

1. The One Hundred and Sixty-first meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 21 February 1984 at the STAT McLean, VA. The following persons attended:

STAT Chairman
STAT Executive Secretary
Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice
Mr. Carl Martz, Navy
Ms. Sue Berg, Navy
Mr. Ralph Neeper, Army
Ms. Martha Tofferi, Air Force
STAT NSA
Mr. Glenn Vaughn, Navy
Mr. Eugene Epperly, OSD
STAT DCI SECOM

2. The Navy member discussed the results of the task to review the IR's for computer security data, and to ascertain the threat. Copies of the report on the task were distributed. He discussed the background of IR investigations, primarily covering the period from 1973-1983, then reviewing the background for this particular effort. He then discussed the development of the methodology for reviewing the IR's. Among the major conclusions of the effort is that the available information is not responsive to computer security collection requirements; most IR's are in response to requirements for technology transfer data. Several approaches for developing better data were recommended. Follow-up activities were also suggested. The Navy member pointed out that the subcommittee's seed money has led to further funding by other interested elements of the community.

3. There was a discussion of the status and thrust of the re-draft of PD-24. It was noted that it is currently in coordination at the Agency/Department level. The aspect of special interest is that the current draft sets up an "Executive Agent for Information Security," under the NCSC structure. The National Agent for COMSEC and Automated Systems Security would be the Sec Def, with the Program Manager being DIRNSA. There was considerable discussion of the history and background of this document and its predecessors, and the potential impact of the current approach.

4. The next meeting was set for 0930 on 20 March 1984 at
STAT   the [   ] McLean, VA.
STAT

Executive Secretary